NATION-BUILDING IN MOLDOVA: IDENTITY, LANGUAGE, AND THE POLITICS OF INTEGRATION THROUGH THE LENS OF CONSTRUCTIVISM

Marina STĂNESCU

"Carol I" National Defense University, Bucharest, Romania

This paper examines the complex process of nation-building in Moldova through a constructivist theoretical framework, focusing on the interplay between identity formation, language policies, and political integration efforts. Since gaining independence in 1991, Moldova has grappled with forging a cohesive national identity amidst competing historical narratives, linguistic tensions, and geopolitical pressures. The analysis explores how Moldovan national identity is socially constructed through ongoing negotiations between diverse ethnic, linguistic and regional groups, as well as external influences from Russia and the European Union. The process of nation-building in Moldova is further complicated by the country's historical legacy as a borderland between competing empires and ideologies. This has resulted in a multifaceted cultural landscape where Romanian, Russian, and distinctly Moldovan elements coexist and sometimes clash. The paper examines attempts to navigate these complexities. Language policy has emerged as a critical battleground in this process, with debates over the status of Romanian versus Moldovan language reflecting deeper tensions about national identity and geopolitical orientation.

Key words: *national identity, history, language, domestic policies, EU integration.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Moldova has a complex history, shaped by various empires and powers. It was part of the Roman and Byzantine Empires of ancient times. In the Middle Ages, the Principality of Moldavia emerged as an independent state. Moldova later came under Ottoman influence and was subsequently annexed by the Russian Empire in the 19th century. After World War I, it united with Romania but was later incorporated into the Soviet Union during World War II.

Moldova gained independence in 1991 following the dissolution of the Soviet Union. Moldova's rich and intricate history was shaped by a succession of empires and powers, reflecting the region's strategic importance at the crossroads of Eastern Europe. In ancient times, the territory that now comprises modern Moldova was part of both the Roman and Byzantine Empires, leaving lasting cultural and linguistic influences. As the medieval period unfolded, the Principality of Moldavia emerged as an independent state,

establishing its own unique identity and playing a significant role in regional politics (Hitchins, K. Arnold, Latham, . Ernest , Buckmaster, . Barbara and Sukhopara, . Fyodor Nikolayevich, 2024).

The geopolitical landscape shifted dramatically in the subsequent centuries. Moldova fell under the sway of the Ottoman Empire, which exerted considerable influence on the region's governance and culture. This period was followed by a major transition in the 19th century when the Russian Empire annexed Moldova, integrating it into its vast territorial holdings and introducing new administrative and social structures.

The aftermath of World War I brought about another pivotal change. Moldova united Romania, a move that reflected the shared cultural and linguistic ties between the two regions. However, this union was short-lived. During the tumultuous events of World War II, Moldova was incorporated into the Soviet Union, ushering in an era of communist rule that would last for several decades (Eurydice, 2023).

The dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991 marked a watershed moment in Moldova's history. Seizing the opportunity presented by the collapse of the communist system, Moldova declared its independence, embarking on the challenging journey of nation-building and democratic development. This transition has been marked by efforts to forge a distinct national identity while navigating complex relationships with neighboring countries and addressing internal socio-economic challenges (Baar & Jakubek, 2017).

Throughout its history, Moldova has been a mélange of cultures, languages, and traditions, reflecting its position at the intersection of Eastern and Western influence. This diverse heritage continues to shape the country's identity and place in the modern world, as Moldova strives to balance its historical legacies with contemporary aspirations for economic development and European integration (Eagles, 2014).

The country's path on democratic consolidation has been marked by both progress and setbacks, with recurring political instability and corruption posing significant challenges to effective governance and institutional reform. Despite these obstacles, Moldova has made progress in consolidating civil society, promoting media freedom, and fostering a more pluralistic political landscape. The nation's commitment to European integration has been a driving force behind many of its reform efforts, with the signing of an Association Agreement with the European Union in 2014 representing a significant milestone in Moldova's quest for closer ties with Western Europe. Moldova submitted its application for European Union (EU) membership in March 2022. Following a swift evaluation process, the EU granted Moldova candidate status in June of the same year. This

marked a significant milestone in Moldova's journey towards European integration. Subsequently, in June 2024, the EU and Moldova held their first intergovernmental conference, signaling the formal commencement of accession negotiations. This conference represented a crucial step in Moldova's path to potential EU membership, initiating detailed discussions on the adoption and implementation of EU laws and standards. (EU, 2024)

2. FOCUS OF THE ARTICLE

The Republic of Moldova's ongoing efforts to build a cohesive national identity in the context of post-Soviet politics and its balancing act between Russia and the European Union Moldova's complex historical and geopolitical positioning continues to exert a profound influence on its ongoing process of national identity formation and foreign policy orientation. The country's multifaceted efforts to forge a distinct post-Soviet identity are significantly complicated by deep-rooted linguistic divisions, powerful regional influences, and competing visions for its future trajectory. These factors contribute to a dynamic and often contentious political landscape, where different segments of society advocate for divergent paths forward.

The linguistic divide between Romanian and Russian speakers remains a central issue in Moldova's identity discourse. This linguistic duality is not merely a matter of communication but is deeply intertwined with cultural affiliations, historical narratives, and political leanings. The prevalence of bilingualism in urban areas contrasts with more linguistically homogeneous rural regions, creating a patchwork of cultural identities across the country (Baar & Jakubek, 2017).

Regional influences, particularly from Romania and Russia, play a significant role in shaping Moldova's national consciousness. The historical and cultural ties with Romania, based on shared language and heritage, pull some Moldovans towards closer integration with the West. Conversely, the legacy of Soviet rule and ongoing economic and cultural links with Russia exert a counterbalancing force, appealing to those who favor maintaining strong ties with the East.

These competing external influences manifest in divergent visions for Moldova's future. While some advocate for closer alignment with the European Union and potential reunification with Romania, others push for maintaining neutrality or strengthening ties with Russia and the Eurasian Economic Union. This ideological divide permeates political discourse, electoral politics, and policy-making processes, often resulting in governmental gridlock and policy inconsistencies (Sánchez, 2023).

As Moldova navigates these complex identity and geopolitical challenges, it must simultaneously

address pressing socioeconomic disparities and governance issues that have hindered its progress towards full European integration. Economic inequality, rural-urban divides, and widespread corruption continue to undermine public trust in state institutions and impede effective reforms. The persistent issue of Transnistria, a breakaway region supported by Russia, further complicates Moldova's territorial integrity and its aspirations for European integration (Medinets, 2018).

Moreover, Moldova faces the challenge of modernizing its economy while balancing the interests of various sectors and demographic groups. The country's reliance on agriculture and remittances from citizens working abroad highlights the need for economic diversification and the creation of domestic employment opportunities. Addressing brain drain and encouraging the return of skilled professionals is crucial for building a sustainable and competitive economy.

In the realm of governance, Moldova must strengthen its democratic institutions, enhance the rule of law, and improve transparency in public administration. These efforts are essential not only for meeting European standards but also for building a more resilient and cohesive society capable of withstanding external pressures and internal divisions.

As Moldova continues to grapple with these multifaceted challenges, its journey towards a consolidated national identity and a clear geopolitical orientation remains ongoing. The country's ability to navigate these complex issues will significantly impact its future development, regional stability, and its place in the broader European and Eurasian contexts.

3. DEFINING NATIONAL IDENTITY

In general terms, after reviewing a series of research and scientific literature, national identity appears as a collective sense of belonging to a nation, encompassing shared cultural, historical, linguistic, and often ethnic characteristics. It is a complex construct that involves:

- Shared culture: Common traditions, customs, values, and practices.
- Historical narrative: A collective understanding of the nation's past and its significance.
- Language: Often a unifying factor, though not always singular in multilingual nations.
- Symbols: National flags, anthems, and other emblems representing the nation.
- Territorial association: Connection to a specific geographical area.
- Political institutions: Shared governance structures and civic participation.
- Collective memory: Shared experiences and interpretations of significant events.

- Ethnic composition: Can be homogeneous or diverse, influencing identity formation.

Benedict Anderson's seminal work, *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*, introduces the revolutionary concept of nations as imagined communities, transforming the understanding of nationalism. He suggests that nations are not inherent or everlasting entities but rather socially constructed. The term "imagined" stems from the fact that most citizens of a nation will never encounter or know each other personally. Yet, they share a sense of unity and collective identity. This shared perception of belonging unites individuals as members of the same national group. Anderson identifies several crucial historical and societal factors contributing to the formation of these communities. The emergence of mass media, particularly newspapers and books, enabled individuals across vast geographical regions to consume identical information simultaneously. This process facilitated the creation of a common narrative and history, fostering a sense of unity among individuals who might otherwise never interact. Through engagement with the same news and cultural context, individuals began to conceptualize themselves as part of a broader national community (Anderson, 1983).

The 1996 study on nationalism by Rogers Brubaker provides a comprehensive analysis of the concept and its implications. Understanding nationalism is essential for examining global political dynamics and interstate relations. Nationalism significantly influences both individual and collective identities. Moreover, it substantially impacts domestic policy decisions, affecting domains such as immigration, education, and cultural preservation. The complex interplay between nationalism and globalization remains a subject of ongoing scholarly inquiry and discourse. These factors contribute to the sustained academic interest in nationalism, underscoring the significance of Brubaker's contribution to this field of study (Brubaker, 1996).

In his exploration of the roots of nations and national identity, Anthony Smith draws a link to ethnic identity, viewing it as a pre-modern manifestation of shared cultural heritage. He defines collective cultural identity as a persistent sense of continuity among generations within a cultural group, rooted in collective memories of historical events and a shared perception of the group's destiny. Smith suggests that there is a powerful emotional tie to the distant past when a community was first established—a community that, despite evolving over time, is still perceived as fundamentally unchanged. Smith's research into the ethnic foundations of nations is central to his understanding of the formation of specific nations and the reasons

behind the varying characteristics and content of nationalisms, despite their similar structures. He underscores the importance of myths, memories, values, traditions, and symbols as crucial elements that set ethnic communities apart and strengthen their unique culture and sense of purpose (Smith, 1991).

McCrone and Bechhofer's book "Understanding National Identity" (2015) presents a nuanced, empirically grounded analysis of how individuals experience and articulate national identity in their quotidian lives. The authors challenge monolithic conceptions of national identity, emphasizing its variable nature, and examine how national belonging is influenced by political, societal, and cultural factors. Their research is particularly relevant in elucidating contemporary discourse surrounding national identity, notably in relation to movements advocating for regional autonomy and political independence, such as those observed in Scotland. (Mccrone & Bechhofer, 2015)

In Moldova's case, national identity is particularly complex due to its diverse ethnic composition and cultural influences. The country grapples with balancing its multicultural reality against efforts to forge a unified national identity, especially in the post-Soviet context. This process involves navigating linguistic policies, addressing historical narratives, and reconciling various cultural traditions within a cohesive national framework.

Moldova's national identity formation is a multifaceted process influenced by its historical legacy, ethnic diversity, and geopolitical position. The country's population comprises various ethnic groups, including Moldovans, Romanians, Ukrainians, Russians, and Gagauz, each contributing to the cultural mosaic. This diversity presents both opportunities and challenges in constructing a unified national narrative. These discussions often intersect with broader questions of cultural affiliation and historical interpretation. The post-Soviet era has further complicated Moldova's identity-building efforts. The country has had to navigate between its Soviet past, its historical ties to Romania, and its aspirations for European integration. This has led to ongoing debates about Moldova's place in the region and its relationship with neighboring countries.

As Moldova continues to develop its democratic institutions and seeks to define its role in the international community, the process of forging a cohesive national identity remains a dynamic and evolving challenge, requiring careful balancing of diverse cultural elements and historical narratives.

4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: CONSTRUCTIVISM

Constructivism in international relations theory provides a compelling

framework for understanding Moldova's complex journey of nation-building and its evolving geopolitical positioning. This theoretical approach, which emphasizes the significance of ideas, norms, and social interactions in shaping state behavior and international dynamics, offers unique insights into Moldova's post-Soviet development. Through a constructivist lens, Moldova's ongoing efforts to forge a distinct national identity and align itself with European values can be interpreted as a multifaceted process of social construction, influenced by a dynamic interplay of internal debates and external relationships (Agius, 2022).

The constructivist perspective highlights how Moldova's national identity is not a fixed or predetermined entity, but rather a fluid concept that is continuously negotiated and redefined through social interactions and discourse. This process involves various actors, including political elites, civil society organizations, and ordinary citizens, who engage in debates about what it means to be Moldovan in the 21st century. These discussions often revolve around questions of language, culture, and historical narratives, as well as Moldova's place in the broader European and post-Soviet contexts.

Furthermore, constructivism sheds light on how Moldova's geopolitical orientation is shaped by shared understandings and collective meanings rather than solely by material factors. The country's gradual shift towards European integration, for instance, can be seen as a result of changing perceptions and the internalization of European norms and values among key segments of Moldovan society. This process is not linear or uncontested, as it involves ongoing negotiations between different societal groups with varying visions for Moldova's future (Checkel, 2004).

The constructivist approach also emphasizes the role of international actors in Moldova's nation-building process. The European Union, Russia, and other regional players contribute to shaping Moldova's identity and policy choices through their interactions, diplomatic efforts, and the promotion of specific norms and ideas. These external influences interact with domestic factors, creating a complex web of social constructions that inform Moldova's national self-perception and its positioning in the international arena (Barkin, 2020).

Moreover, constructivism allows for a nuanced understanding of how historical legacies and collective memories impact Moldova's contemporary politics and foreign policy (Hurd, 2008). The country's Soviet past, its experiences during the transition period, and its aspirations for European integration all contribute to a collectively constructed narrative that influences policy decisions and public attitudes.

In conclusion, the constructivist framework provides a rich and

multifaceted lens through which to analyze Moldova's ongoing process of nation-building and its navigation of complex geopolitical realities. By focusing on the power of ideas, social interactions, and the construction of shared meanings, this theoretical approach offers valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities facing Moldova as it continues to define its national identity and chart its course in the international system (Jung, 2019).

5. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK RELEVANCE TO NATION-BUILDING AND IDENTITY FORMATION

This theoretical approach illuminates the intricate dynamics of Moldova's nation-building process, emphasizing how it is profoundly shaped by the complex interplay between historical narratives, collective experiences, and evolving social norms. The constructivist lens reveals the multifaceted nature of national identity formation, suggesting that Moldova's sense of self is not static but rather in a constant state of flux, continually shaped and reshaped through ongoing interactions with both internal and external actors. This perspective highlights the fluidity of national identity, acknowledging that it is not merely a product of fixed historical facts or immutable cultural traits, but rather a dynamic construct that emerges from shared interpretations and social processes (Ba & Hoffmann, 2003).

Furthermore, constructivism places significant emphasis on the crucial role of discourse and communication in molding perceptions and influencing policy choices. This focus underscores the power of language, symbols, and narratives in shaping the collective understanding of what it means to be Moldovan. It suggests that the way in which historical events, cultural practices, and social relationships are discussed and interpreted within society can have a profound impact on the nation's self-perception and its relationships with other countries (Hurd, 2008).

The constructivist approach also points to the potential for significant shifts in Moldova's national trajectory as new ideas and interpretations gain traction within society. This implies that the country's future direction is not predetermined by its past or current circumstances, but rather remains open to change as different narratives and worldviews compete for dominance in the public sphere. It highlights the agency of various actors – from political elites and civil society organizations to ordinary citizens – in contributing to the ongoing process of national identity construction.

Moreover, this theoretical framework shows that Moldova's self-understanding is not formed in isolation but is significantly influenced by its interactions with and perceptions of other nations, international organizations, and global

trends. This relational aspect of identity formation underscores the importance of considering both domestic and international contexts when analyzing Moldova's nation-building efforts.

By emphasizing the constructed nature of national identity, this approach also opens up possibilities for reimagining and potentially reshaping Moldova's national narrative. It suggests that through conscious efforts to promote certain values, histories, or visions of the future, various stakeholders can influence the trajectory of the nation's development. This perspective offers both challenges and opportunities for Moldovan policymakers and citizens as they navigate the complex task of forging a cohesive national identity in a diverse and rapidly changing world.

6.   HISTORICAL BACKGROUND - LEGACY OF SOVIET INFLUENCE

The country's unique situation, connecting Eastern and Western influences, presents both challenges and opportunities in forging a cohesive national identity. Policymakers might consider how to balance and integrate diverse cultural influences from Romania, Russia, and other neighboring countries in crafting a distinctly Moldovan identity. This process would require careful consideration of linguistic, ethnic, and cultural factors that have shaped Moldovan society over centuries.

The Soviet era left a profound and lasting impact on Moldova, shaping its cultural, linguistic, and social landscape in ways that continue to influence the country today. Russian culture remains prevalent, with the language still widely spoken and considered important for inter-ethnic communication. Russian influences are evident in literature, media, and popular culture, with many Moldovans consuming Russian-language content and celebrating Russian holidays alongside local customs. According to studies, the imperial authorities had a clear objective: the complete Russification of people in the conquered regions. (Fuksova & University, 2024).

Upon thorough analysis, several key aspects of Soviet legacies in Moldova have been identified as having the most significant impact on the erosion of Moldovan societal identity and the persistent challenges in its restoration to the present day. One of the most important ones is the Russian culture and its prevalence. Russian remains widely spoken and is still considered an important language of inter-ethnic communication in Moldova. Many Moldovans consume Russian-language media, including television programs, movies, and music. Russian holidays and traditions continue to be celebrated alongside local customs (SCORE, 2022)

Experts from both the Russian Empire and modern Russia agree that these annexed territories were treated as colonies, with their inhabitants

forced into cultural stagnation. In Bessarabia during the period studied, the use of Romanian was entirely prohibited in schools, churches, government offices, and courts. Children aged 8-10 were only permitted to access even basic education if they could already read and write in Russian. This evidence leaves no room for doubt about the empire's intentions to suppress local cultures and languages (Eremia, 2023).

The Soviet occupation, lasting from 1940 to 1991, resulted in significant changes to Moldova's political, economic, and social structures. Education was primarily conducted in Russian, with limited opportunities for Romanian language instruction. The suppression of Romanian language education led to a generation gap in language proficiency (Dostál & Knippenberg, 1979). Debates over language policy in education continue to be politically contentious. Soviet authorities promoted the migration of Russian-speaking populations to Moldova, thereby altering the demographic composition. Additionally, numerous Moldovan surnames underwent Russification through the addition of Russian suffixes.

The Russian Orthodox Church maintains a significant presence in Moldova. The Russian Orthodox Church's influence extends beyond religion into cultural and social realms. Many Moldovans participate in Orthodox traditions and celebrations, which are integral to their cultural identity. The church's teachings and practices resonate with a significant portion of the population, reinforcing ties to Russian heritage and traditions. (Kaukvere, 2024)

Perhaps one of the most significant elements that impacted Moldovan society is the Soviet-era famine of 1946-1947. (Boriak, 2020) This episode had a profound impact on Moldova, resulting in significant mortality (Catan, 2021). This famine is considered by some scholars as an exemplar of the detrimental effects of Soviet policies on Moldova. The collectivization of agriculture implemented during the 1940s and 1950s disrupted established agricultural practices. Food scarcity and rationing were prevalent experiences throughout the Soviet period. The collective memory of these hardships continues to influence contemporary attitudes towards governmental and economic policies. (Musteață, 2015)

These Soviet legacies have contributed to ongoing debates about national identity, language policy, and cultural orientation in Moldova. The country continues to navigate the complex interplay between its Romanian/Moldovan heritage and the lasting influences of the Soviet era. This has resulted in a unique cultural landscape where Soviet-era influences coexist with efforts to reconnect with pre-Soviet traditions and European integration aspirations. The persistence of these legacies affects

various aspects of Moldovan society, from political discourse to everyday cultural practices, and continues to shape the country's development trajectory in the post-Soviet era (Marandici, 2021).

7. DOMESTIC POLICIES AIMED AT FOSTERING NATIONAL COHESION

Moldova's identity formation is intricately linked to its complex ethnic composition and cultural diversity. The nation's population comprises a diverse array of ethnic groups, with Moldovans constituting the majority, accompanied by substantial communities of Ukrainians, Russians, Gagauz, Bulgarians, and Roma. Moldovan 75.1%, Romanian 7%, Ukrainian 6.6%, Gagauz 4.6%, Russian 4.1%, Bulgarian 1.9%, other 0.8% (2014 est.) (The World Factbook, 2024)

With a small population of 2,486,891.00 and a GDP of USD 16.5 billion, the Republic of Moldova finds it difficult to form a national identity.

This heterogeneous ethnic landscape is a consequence of historical population movements, fluctuating borders, and the region's strategic geographical position at the intersection of Eastern Europe. The cultural diversity within Moldova is manifested in its linguistic landscape, traditional practices, and religious affiliations. While Romanian was instated as the official language of the country in 2023 only (Calugareanu,

2023), Russian maintains widespread usage and functions as a significant lingua franca.

The linguistic tensions in Moldova indeed extend beyond the Russian-Romanian dichotomy, involving other minority languages such as Ukrainian, Gagauz, and Bulgarian. These complexities are intertwined with regional autonomy movements, particularly in Gagauzia, complicating the national language policy landscape. The government's navigation of these linguistic challenges has drawn attention from international organizations, as language rights are crucial in evaluating Moldova's progress towards European integration and democratic consolidation.

However, the role of the Russian language remained a contentious issue in Moldova's linguistic landscape, with many Russian speakers advocating for its continued official status. This linguistic divide often mirrored political and cultural alignments, with some regions, particularly Transnistria, maintaining stronger ties to the Russian language and culture. The government's efforts to promote the Romanian language while balancing the rights of linguistic minorities presented ongoing challenges in Moldova's quest for national unity and identity.

The Moldovan government's attempts to address these linguistic challenges have been closely monitored by international bodies. Language rights are considered

essential indicators of Moldova's commitment to democratic values and its aspirations for EU membership. The European Union has emphasized the importance of respecting minority rights as part of its integration criteria Moldova's path towards EU integration is significantly influenced by its handling of linguistic rights and minority issues. The EU has historically linked progress in these areas to broader democratic reforms, making it a focal point in Moldova's political discourse (EU relations with the Republic of Moldova, 2024).

The high number of Moldovans holding Romanian passports, over one million, and consequently EU citizenship, has significant implications for Moldova's future. This situation may accelerate Moldova's integration with the EU, as a substantial portion of its population already enjoys EU rights and privileges (DIGI 24, 2024). However, it could also complicate Moldova's internal politics and national identity, potentially creating divisions between those with and without EU citizenship. Furthermore, this dual citizenship phenomenon might influence Moldova's economic policies, labor market dynamics, and diplomatic relations with both Romania and the broader EU.

The predominant faith is Orthodox Christianity, coexisting with Catholic, Protestant, and Jewish communities. This multifaceted cultural milieu has precipitated ongoing discourse regarding national identity, language

policies, and the country's geopolitical orientation. The process of identity formation in Moldova continues to evolve, striving to balance the preservation of distinct ethnic identities with the cultivation of a cohesive national identity in the post-Soviet era.

Building on this foundation, Moldova could leverage its unique position at the crossroads of Eastern and Western cultures to create a distinctive national brand. This strategic branding effort could capitalize on the country's geographical and cultural positioning, emphasizing Moldova's role as a vital bridge between different regions, traditions, and ideologies. By highlighting its capacity for intercultural dialogue and cooperation, Moldova could position itself as a key player in fostering understanding and collaboration between diverse communities.

Furthermore, by embracing its multicultural heritage, Moldova could position itself as a model for peaceful coexistence and integration in a region often marked by ethnic tensions and historical conflicts. This approach would not only showcase the country's ability to harmonize various cultural influences but also demonstrate its potential as a mediator in regional disputes. Moldova's experience in navigating its complex historical and cultural landscape could serve as a valuable example for other nations grappling with similar challenges. However, the intersection of linguistic

diversity and regional autonomy movements in Moldova presents significant challenges for national policy and international relations, particularly regarding its aspirations for European integration.

Moldova has implemented a comprehensive array of initiatives aimed at strengthening national unity and identity and fostering social cohesion across its diverse communities. These multifaceted efforts encompass a wide range of strategies designed to bridge cultural, linguistic, and ethnic divides within the country. One key focus has been the promotion of bilingualism, encouraging citizens to develop proficiency in both Romanian (the official state language) and Russian (widely spoken in certain regions). This approach not only facilitates better communication between different linguistic groups but also helps preserve the cultural heritage of various communities.

Additionally, by implementing targeted economic development programs in various regions, aiming to reduce disparities and create more equitable opportunities for all citizens, central authorities are addressing economic imbalances. These efforts help mitigate potential sources of social tension and contribute to a more unified and stable society.

Moldova continues to work towards strengthening its national identity, fostering a sense of shared identity and purpose among its diverse population, and positioning itself for

successful integration into the broader European community.

8.   CONCLUSION

Challenges in creating a unified national identity are often rooted in historical divisions, ethnic diversity, linguistic differences, and regional disparities. In many cases, the process is complicated by competing political ideologies and external influences that shape the national narrative. Additionally, economic inequalities and social fragmentation can hinder efforts to build a cohesive identity, as different groups may have varying experiences of inclusion or exclusion within the state.

Cultural pluralism also poses a challenge, as efforts to create a singular national identity must balance the preservation of distinct cultural traditions while fostering a shared sense of belonging. Finally, the impact of globalization and migration introduces new complexities, as states must accommodate changing demographics and evolving notions of identity in an increasingly interconnected world.

Moldova continues to grapple with substantial hurdles in forging a cohesive national identity, despite concerted efforts to promote unity. The country's diverse ethnic composition, a legacy of its complex historical and geopolitical position, presents ongoing challenges to social integration and cultural harmony. Linguistic divisions, particularly

between Romanian and Russian speakers, remain a significant source of tension and contribute to social fragmentation.

Furthermore, the persistent issue of Transnistria poses a formidable challenge to Moldova's efforts at nation-building and territorial consolidation. This narrow strip of land along the eastern border of Moldova, with its own de facto government and institutions, has effectively functioned as a separate entity since the early 1990s. The unresolved status of Transnistria not only undermines Moldova's territorial integrity but also complicates efforts to implement cohesive policies across the entire country, especially since the region is controlled de facto by the Russian Federation.

The Transnistrian issue has far-reaching implications beyond mere territorial control. It affects economic development, as the region houses significant industrial infrastructure and energy resources. The ongoing separation also impacts social cohesion, with limited interaction between populations on both sides of the Dniester River contributing to a sense of alienation and mistrust. Moreover, the presence of Russian military forces in Transnistria adds a geopolitical dimension to the conflict, further complicating Moldova's efforts to assert full sovereignty and pursue an independent foreign policy.

In addition to these primary challenges, Moldova faces other obstacles in its quest for national unity. Economic disparities between urban and rural areas, as well as between different regions of the country, contribute to social tensions and uneven development. The ongoing issue of emigration, particularly among younger, educated Moldovans, poses a threat to the country's demographic stability and future prospects.

As Moldova continues to navigate these complex issues, the path towards a unified national identity remains fraught with difficulties. Addressing these challenges will require sustained efforts at reconciliation, inclusive policymaking, and creative solutions that can bridge the divides within Moldovan society while respecting its diverse cultural heritage.

Enhancing regional cooperation and integration, particularly with neighboring countries and the European Union, could open up new economic opportunities and strengthen Moldova's position on the international stage. This could involve streamlining trade agreements, participating in cross-border infrastructure projects, and engaging in cultural exchange programs. By leveraging its strategic location between Eastern and Western Europe, Moldova can position itself as a bridge for commerce and ideas, attracting foreign investment and fostering economic growth.

REFERENCES

[1] Anderson, B. (1983). *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*. Verso.

[2] Agius, C. (2022). *6. Social Constructivism* (pp. 73–89). Oxford University. https://doi.org/10.1093/hepl/97801988621 92.003.0006

[3] Anghelescu, H. G. B. (2004). The National Library of the Republic of Moldova: Old Legacies and New Identities. *Alexandria: The Journal of National and International Library and Information Issues*, *16*(1), 3–16. https://doi.org/10.1177/095574900401600 102

[4] Ba, A., & Hoffmann, M. J. (2003). Making and Remaking the World for IR 101: A Resource for Teaching Social Constructivism in Introductory Classes. *International Studies Perspectives*, *4*(1), 15–33. https://doi.org/10.1111/1528-3577.04102

[5] Baar, V., & Jakubek, D. (2017). Divided National Identity in Moldova. *Journal of Nationalism, Memory & Language Politics*, *11*(1), 58–92. https://doi.org/10.1515/jnmlp-2017-0004

[6] Barkin, J. S. (2020). *The Social Construction of State Power*. Bristol university. https://doi.org/10.46692/9781529209846

[7] Bobić, M. (2019). *Moldova: Military Confrontation with Russian Forces* (pp. 103–136). policy. https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/97815 29205206.003.0005

[8] Boriak, T. (2020). Famine of 1932-1933 in the Russian Federation: Conflict of Oral History, Commemorative Practices and Official Politics of Memory? *Zaporizhzhia Historical Review*, *3*(55), 79–91. https://doi.org/10.26661/zhv-2020-3-55-09

[9] Brubaker, R. (1996). *Nationalism Reframed: Nationhood and the National Question in the New Europe*. Cambridge University Press.

[10] Catan, V. (2021). Suveranitatea Republicii Moldova între război şi pace / Sovereignty of the Republic of Moldova between war and peace. *Plural. History, Culture, Society*, *9*(2), 8–27. https://doi.org/10.37710/plural.v9i2_2

[11] Checkel, J. T. (2004). Social constructivisms in global and European politics: a review essay. *Review of International Studies*, *30*(2), 229–244. https://doi.org/10.1017/s02602105040060 23

[12] Dostál, P., & Knippenberg, H. (1979). The "Russification" of Ethnic Minorities in The USSR. *Soviet Geography*, *20*(4), 197–219. https://doi.org/10.1080/00385417.1979.10 640287

[13] Eagles, J. (2011). Public Archaeology in a Nascent Nation State: Two Decades of Independence in the Republic of Moldova. *Public Archaeology*, *10*(4), 200–222. https://doi.org/10.1179/175355311x13206 765126631

[14] Eagles, J. (2014). Stephen the Great and Balkan nationalism: Moldova and Eastern European history. *Choice Reviews Online*, *51*(11), 51–6335. https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.51-6335

[15] Eremia, I. (2023). *Linguistic policy of the Russian Empire in Bessarabia in the years 1834-1871*. Moldova state university. https://doi.org/10.59295/lrr2023.02

[16] Fuksova, K., & University, C. (2024). Victory Day or Europe Day? The Politics of Memory in Moldova in the Shadow Of Russia's War in Ukraine.

Studia Politica. Romanian Political Science Review, *23*(2/2023), 327–357. https://doi.org/10.62229/sprps23-2/5

[17] Hofmeister, U. (2016). Civilization and Russification in Tsarist Central Asia, 1860–1917. *Journal of World History*, *27*(3), 411–442. https://doi.org/10.1353/jwh.2016.0115

[18] Hurd, I. (2008). *Constructivism* (pp. 298–316). https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199219322.003.0017

[19] Iglesias, J. D. (2013). Constructing national history in political discourse: Coherence and contradiction (Moldova, 2001-2009). *Nationalities Papers*, *41*(5), 780–800. https://doi.org/10.1080/00905992.2013.768219

[20] Jung, H. (2019). The Evolution of Social Constructivism in Political Science: Past to Present. *SAGE Open*, *9*(1), 215824401983270. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019832703

[21] Marandici, I. (2021). Nostalgic Voting? Explaining the Electoral Support for the Political Left in Post-Soviet Moldova. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, *63*(4), 514–542. https://doi.org/10.1080/15387216.2021.1918565

[22] McCrone, D., & Bechhofer, F. (2015). *Understanding National Identity*. Cambridge University Press.

[23] Medinets, M. Y. (2018). Reflection of the Past in Historical And Cultural Landscape of Transnistrian Moldovan Republic. *Vestnik of Samara University. History, Pedagogics, Philology*, *24*(2), 45–49. https://doi.org/10.18287/2542-0445-2018-24-2-45-49

[24] Napieralska, A. (2018). *Russian-Moldovan Relations after the Collapse of the Soviet Union*. https://doi.org/10.33915/etd.257

[25] Pivovar, E., Levchenkov, A., & Guschin, A. (2021). Republic of Moldova in 2016-2020: Domestic Situation and Foreign Policy Objectives. *Contemporary Europe*, *103*(3), 94–105. https://doi.org/10.15211/soveurope3202194105

[26] Prina, F. (2014). Linguistic Justice, Soviet Legacies and Post-Soviet Realpolitik: The Ethnolinguistic Cleavage in Moldova. *Ethnopolitics*, *14*(1), 52–71. https://doi.org/10.1080/17449057.2014.928097

[27] Musteață, S. (2015). Rolul anului 1991 în destinul Republicii Moldova / 1991: A Chronology of Moldova's Independence. *PLURAL. History, Culture, Society*, *3*(1), 127–140. https://doi.org/10.37710/plural.v3i1_8

[28] Smith, A. D. (1991). *National Identity*. University of Nevada Press.

[29] Tartakovsky, D. (2008). Conflicting Holocaust narratives in Moldovan nationalist historical discourse. *East European Jewish Affairs*, *38*(2), 211–229. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501670802184090.