

# BLOCKCHAIN AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR ENHANCED INFORMATION SECURITY IN MILITARY COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

Fiodor TIMERCAN

“Alexandru cel Bun” Armed Forces Military Academy,  
Republic of Moldova

*Emerging technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) are reshaping the landscape of military information security. Blockchain ensures data integrity, prevents unauthorized modifications, and establishes decentralized trust across sensitive communication networks. In parallel, AI enhances cyber defense by enabling advanced anomaly detection, predictive analysis, and automated response to potential intrusions. The combined use of these technologies increases the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information in command and control systems. Practical applications include secure message exchange, distributed authentication, and early threat identification supported by machine learning. Key challenges remain in areas such as scalability, interoperability, and the efficient use of limited resources within military infrastructures. By integrating blockchain and AI, defense forces can strengthen information resilience, reduce cyber vulnerabilities, and maintain a technological edge in an increasingly contested digital battlespace.*

**Key words:** *blockchain, artificial intelligence, information security, military communications, data integrity, predictive analysis.*

## INTRODUCTION

Modern military operations rely on the rapid exchange of accurate and secure information. Commanders, intelligence officers, and operators depend on communication systems that function reliably under cyberattacks, electronic interference, and the physical constraints of the battlespace. As adversaries develop

more advanced capabilities in cyberspace, protecting data and communications has become a central component of national defense. Sophisticated intrusion methods, insider risks, and data manipulation attempts require adaptive, intelligent, and decentralized defense solutions.

Blockchain provides an immutable and verifiable record of transactions and message exchanges,

reducing the risk of data alteration and enhancing trust in distributed systems. AI, through machine learning and behavioral analysis, supports early anomaly detection, predictive threat assessment, and rapid decision-making during cyber incidents [11], [30]. Recent years have seen a growing number of studies and pilot programs exploring this convergence in defense applications, such as NATO's *Emerging and Disruptive Technologies Initiative*, the *British Army's Approach to Artificial Intelligence (2023)*, and the *U.S. Army Data Strategy (2020)*, all emphasizing secure data exchange and AI-assisted situational awareness in joint and coalition operations [3], [18].

Successful integration of these technologies also depends on the training and readiness of personnel who operate, maintain, and interpret AI - and blockchain-based systems. Military communicators, cybersecurity specialists, and system administrators must acquire new technical skills in distributed ledger management, data analytics, and AI model validation. Training programs developed under NATO's innovation initiatives and national defense education frameworks demonstrate the importance of aligning technological adoption with human competencies and doctrinal understanding [15], [17], [29].

Integrating blockchain and AI into military communication

systems thus enhances cyber defense, transparency, and decision superiority across all levels of command. Collaboration among defense research institutions, academia, and the private sector remains essential to adapt these technologies to operational requirements and governance frameworks. Together, blockchain and AI form the foundation for next-generation military communication systems designed to sustain secure, uninterrupted, and trusted information exchange across all domains of warfare.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

Information security has always been a decisive factor in the success of military operations. From encrypted radio transmissions to satellite communication systems, the armed forces have continuously adapted to maintain control over the information domain. However, as digital transformation accelerates, the amount of data exchanged across defense networks has grown exponentially, creating new vulnerabilities and expanding the attack surface available to adversaries.

Blockchain technology emerged as a promising response to these challenges. Its decentralized, tamper – resistant architecture enables secure verification of mission data and communication records without

reliance on a single point of failure. In defense environments, blockchain can support secure message exchange, identity management, logistics tracking, and mission data integrity. Field studies and policy papers, such as the European Defence Agency's *Blockchain in Defence* report (2018), Finabel's *Blockchain in Defence: A Breakthrough* (2020), and NATO's *Technology Strategy towards 2030*, demonstrate increasing interest in operationalizing blockchain for verifiable audit trails, decentralized authentication, and resilience enhancement [7], [8], [13].

Artificial intelligence, in parallel, provides the ability to process large data volumes in real time and detect anomalies within complex communication networks. Machine learning models and AI-driven analytics support intrusion detection, predictive threat assessment, adaptive encryption, and autonomous incident response. Recent military applications include NATO's *AI Strategy* (2024), the UK Ministry of Defence's *Defence Artificial Intelligence Strategy* (2022), and ongoing U.S. Army initiatives on data-centric decision-making under the *Army Data Strategy* (2020). These programs validate the feasibility of integrating AI-enabled security mechanisms within tactical networks operating under high latency, limited bandwidth, and intermittent connectivity [29], [30].

The combination of blockchain and AI thus offers a complementary and mission-relevant approach: blockchain secures data integrity and provenance, while AI enhances situational awareness, automates anomaly detection, and optimizes response time. Together, they support a layered cyber defense architecture that is both dynamic and resilient. Case studies within NATO's cyber range exercises and EU defence innovation projects highlight early-stage deployments of hybrid blockchain – AI frameworks to protect command and control data and authenticate unmanned platforms under constrained network conditions [15], [17], [18].

### 3. INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK FOR SECURE MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS

The integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) within military communication systems aims to establish a resilient, intelligent, and self-adaptive defense architecture. Such a framework enhances both technical and operational dimensions of information security, ensuring that data remains protected, verifiable, and reliable across all echelons of command, even in contested or degraded environments.

The framework combines the decentralized trust of blockchain with AI's analytical and predictive capabilities. Blockchain records

every validated communication in an immutable ledger, while AI monitors network behavior and triggers automated defensive actions [11], [13]. Pilot projects under NATO's Emerging and Disruptive Technologies and the European Defence Agency's Blockchain in Defence initiative have demonstrated these concepts in secure message authentication and cyber resilience exercises [7], [17], [18].

### 3.1. System Components

The framework consists of three main layers:

*Data Integrity Layer* (Blockchain Core): Maintains a tamper-proof log of all communication events and authentication records. Each node contributes to consensus validation, ensuring transparency and reliability.

*Cognitive Security Layer* (AI Engine): Uses machine learning and behavioral analytics to detect intrusions, assess risks, and recommend responses. The AI component can adapt based on previous incidents, improving system performance over time.

*Command and Control Interface*: Connects the technical infrastructure to decision-makers. It provides situational awareness dashboards, alert systems, and automated reporting functions that support operational command structures. Prototype systems tested within the NATO Communications and Information Agency's cyber range

environment demonstrated improved decision cycles and reduced operator workload [17], [19], [29].

### 3.2. Operational Advantages and Training Considerations

Integrating blockchain and AI strengthens the Confidentiality, Integrity, and Availability (CIA) triad central to military cybersecurity doctrine. Blockchain provides decentralized trust and immutability, while AI improves detection speed, decision accuracy, and adaptive resilience. Together, these technologies enable semi-autonomous defense systems capable of maintaining communication integrity even under jamming, latency, or partial network degradation [5], [16], [21].

Beyond security, the framework enhances interoperability among allied forces through standardized data exchange, cross-domain authentication, and federated situational intelligence. NATO and EU-led demonstration projects have shown that distributed trust mechanisms significantly reduce data synchronization delays between coalition partners. Equally critical are *training and personnel readiness*. Operators must understand distributed ledger structures, AI decision processes, and human-machine teaming principles to maintain trust in semi-autonomous systems. NATO's Defence Innovation Accelerator for the North Atlantic

(DIANA) and national military academies have introduced technical training modules on blockchain-based identity management and AI-driven network defense [15], [17], [29].

#### 4. PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS AND USE CASES

The integration of blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) in defense communication networks provides tangible operational benefits, enabling trusted data exchange, automated cyber defense, and resilient decision-making. These technologies have already been tested in military pilot programs such as NATO's *Emerging and Disruptive Technologies* trials and the U.S. Army's *Data Strategy* implementation, which explored AI-assisted network monitoring and blockchain-based authentication in field environments.

##### 4.1. Secure Message Exchange

In military communications, confidentiality and authenticity are paramount. Blockchain can act as a secure backbone for exchanging orders, intelligence updates, and mission reports between command units, field operators, and unmanned platforms. Each transmission is cryptographically signed and stored as a blockchain transaction, ensuring traceability even under jamming or interception attempts.

AI enhances this capability by analyzing message flows to detect

spoofing or signal manipulation. NATO's cyber range exercises have demonstrated that AI-assisted blockchain verification significantly reduces false data injection during simulated electronic warfare [15], [17].

##### 4.2. Distributed Authentication and Access Control

Conventional authentication systems depend on centralized servers, vulnerable to compromise or denial-of-service. Blockchain distributes identity verification across trusted nodes, reducing single points of failure and ensuring continuity of access in contested domains.

When combined with AI-based behavioral analytics, the system dynamically identifies irregular access attempts or insider threats. This hybrid model has been evaluated in the British Army's AI experimentation framework to support coalition operations and secure remote access for deployed units [3], [29].

##### 4.3. Intelligent Threat Detection and Response

AI enables real-time anomaly detection and predictive response, essential for maintaining mission continuity during cyber incidents. Machine learning models trained on operational data can autonomously isolate compromised nodes, reroute traffic, and alert operators.

Blockchain complements this process by recording every incident

and response action immutably, ensuring forensic traceability and accountability for command review. Field applications under NATO's Cooperative Cyber Defence Centre have confirmed the efficiency of this combined approach for incident auditing and rapid recovery [16], [18].

#### 4.4. Mission Data Integrity and Auditability

Mission-critical data, such as sensor readings, situational updates, and mission logs, must remain verifiable across all command tiers. Blockchain guarantees the integrity of these records, while AI verifies data consistency and identifies anomalies in near real time.

This integration has been tested in EU research programs on secure logistics and intelligence sharing, where AI-supported ledgers prevented misinformation propagation and improved decision reliability under constrained connectivity [8], [17].

#### 4.5. Operational Benefits and Challenges

Integrating blockchain and AI provides several operational advantages:

*Increased Trust:* Every data exchange is verifiable and auditable.

*Faster Response:* AI enables early threat detection and automated counteraction.

*Decentralized Security:* Reduces vulnerability to single-point failures.

*Improved Interoperability:* Facilitates secure collaboration between allied forces.

*Enhanced Decision-Making:* Provides real-time intelligence and system transparency.

Yet, deployment in tactical environments remains constrained by limited bandwidth, computing power, and energy availability. Lightweight consensus mechanisms, compressed AI models, and edge-based processing are essential for operational feasibility.

Equally important is the training of personnel tasked with operating and supervising these systems. NATO's DIANA and national military academies have initiated technical education tracks focused on AI ethics, blockchain management, and autonomous system oversight to ensure safe and doctrinally aligned use [15], [17], [29].

As technologies mature and doctrine adapts, blockchain and AI are expected to become core components of next-generation defense communication infrastructures, ensuring cyber resilience and mission assurance across all domains of warfare.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI) are transforming the security architecture of modern military communication systems. Their combined application enhances data integrity, network

resilience, and real-time decision support, capabilities essential for maintaining operational superiority in the information domain.

Field experiments and research initiatives under NATO, the European Defence Agency, and the U.S. Army have already validated the potential of blockchain-based authentication and AI-assisted threat detection for improving cyber defense efficiency and interoperability in coalition environments. These case studies demonstrate that the fusion of distributed trust and cognitive analytics can sustain mission-critical communications even in degraded or contested networks.

However, effective deployment in tactical environments must address key *resource constraints* such as bandwidth limitation, processing capacity, and energy availability. Adaptive consensus algorithms, compressed AI models, and federated learning architectures offer viable solutions to ensure performance at the tactical edge.

Equally crucial is the *training and readiness* of military personnel responsible for operating these systems. New competencies are required in blockchain management, AI model interpretation, and cyber ethics. NATO's Defence Innovation Accelerator (DIANA) and national defense academies are already developing targeted training programs to integrate these skills into doctrine and operations [15], [17], [29].

In conclusion, the convergence of blockchain and AI establishes the foundation for next-generation secure, autonomous, and resource-aware defense communication networks. Continued collaboration between military institutions, academia, and industry will be essential to translate technological maturity into doctrinally aligned, operationally proven capabilities that enhance information dominance and mission assurance across all domains of warfare.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Army University Press, "Modernizing Military Decision-Making: Integrating AI into Army MDMP" \*Military Review (Online Exclusive)\*, 2025.
- [2] P. Bagga, M. Dave, A. K. Dutta, and M. Chhabra, "Blockchain-envisioned access control for IoT-based healthcare systems" \*Complex & Intelligent Systems\*, vol. 8, pp. 1723–1740, 2022.
- [3] British Army, "British Army's Approach to Artificial Intelligence" 2023.
- [4] H. Chan and A. Perrig, "Security and Privacy in Sensor Networks" \*IEEE Computer\*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 103–105, 2003.
- [5] ENISA, "Threat Landscape 2024," \*European Union Agency for Cybersecurity\*, 2024.
- [6] European Commission, "Coordinated Plan on Artificial Intelligence 2021 Review" 2021.
- [7] European Defence Agency, "Blockchain technology in defence" \*European Defence Matters\*, 2018.

- [8] Finabel, “*Blockchain in Defence: A Breakthrough*” 2020.
- [9] P. Kairouz et al., “*Advances and Open Problems in Federated Learning*” \*Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning\*, vol. 14, nos. 1–2, pp. 1–210, 2021.
- [10] D. W. Korsak, “*Decrypting Bitcoin and Blockchain for Military Lawyers*” \*The JAG Reporter\*, 2021.
- [11] I. Kotenko, I. Saenko, A. Branitskiy, and Y. Saenko, “*Survey of Intrusion Detection Systems for Cyber-Physical Systems*” \*Sensors\*, vol. 21, 2021.
- [12] N. Kshetri, “*The Emerging Role of Big Data in Key Development Issues*” in \*Big Data for Development\*, Palgrave, 2014.
- [13] R. Kumar and R. A. Khan, “*Securing military computing with the blockchain*” \*Computer Fraud & Security\*, 2024.
- [14] H. B. McMahan, D. Ramage, and A. Talwalkar, “*Federated Learning: Challenges, Methods, and Future Directions*” \*IEEE Signal Processing Magazine\*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 50–60, 2020.
- [15] NATO, “*Summary of NATO’s Revised Artificial Intelligence Strategy*” 2024.
- [16] NATO, “*Cyber defence*,” 2024.
- [17] NATO, “*Emerging and Disruptive Technologies*” 2025.
- [18] NATO ACT, “*Digital Transformation*” 2025.
- [19] NATO Communications and Information Agency, “*Technology Strategy towards 2030*” 2023.
- [20] NCSC, “*Zero Trust Architecture Design Principles*” 2023.
- [21] NIST, “*Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)*” 2023.
- [22] NIST, “*GenAI Profile for the AI RMF (NIST.AI.600-1)*” 2024.
- [23] NIST, “*Zero Trust Architecture (SP 800-207)*” 2020.
- [24] P. Papadopoulos, S. Diamantopoulos, D. Papadopoulos, and E. Panaousis, “*Blockchain for Secure and Trusted Communication in IoT: A Survey*” \*Computer Networks\*, vol. 179, 2020.
- [25] I. Politis and P. Kotzanikolaou, “*Security and Privacy for Tactical Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey*” \*Computer Networks\*, vol. 147, pp. 134–159, 2018.
- [26] J. Shao, C. You, Y. Sun, Q. Yang, and K. Huang, “*A Survey of What to Share in Federated Learning*” \*ACM Computing Surveys\* (preprint), 2023.
- [27] J. S. Shor, M. Refaei, H. Saddik, and A. Boukerche, “*Anomaly Detection in Network Traffic: A Survey*” \*IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials\*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 303–336, 2014.
- [28] S. Singh and N. Singh, “*Blockchain: Future of Financial and Cyber Security*” \*Procedia Computer Science\*, vol. 132, pp. 147–152, 2018.
- [29] Y. Sun, Y. Li, S. Wang, Q. Cao, and Z. Han, “*Integrating Blockchain with 6G: A Survey of Challenges and Opportunities*” \*IEEE Network\*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 160–167, 2021.
- [30] UK Ministry of Defence, “*Defence Artificial Intelligence Strategy*” 2022.
- [31] US Army, “*Army Data Strategy*” 2020.
- [32] W. Wu, C. Tan, K. Yang, Z. Shen, Q. Zheng, and J. Jin, “*A Sharded Blockchain-Based Secure Federated Learning Framework for LEO Satellite Networks*” \*arXiv preprint\*, 2024.