

# Evaluation Form

## *Journal of Defense Resources Management (JoDRM)*

This form is intended to provide an academic evaluation of the articles submitted for publication in the Journal of Defense Resources Management. For an objective evaluation, we ask you to pay attention to each evaluation criterion, so that the editorial team and the author receive useful feedback before the article is published.

Please use an evaluation scale from 1 to 5, with 5 being the maximum value and 1 being the minimum. If you deem it necessary, please support your assessment through a special paragraph.

<b>Reviewer:</b>	
<b>Title:</b>	
<b>Date:</b>	

Criteria	Score awarded (1-5 scale)
<b>1. The title is clear and appropriate to the content of the article.</b>	
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	
<b>2. Existence of an abstract that announces the author's thesis and, possibly, the conclusion. The conclusions and abstract are in accordance with the content of the article.</b>	
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	
<b>3. The article presents the three essential parts: introduction, demonstration, and conclusions. Thus, it is correctly argued, has a theoretical framework to which it relates, and does not contain errors of expression or logical breaks.</b>	
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	
<b>4. The chosen study method is clearly defined.</b>	
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	
<b>5. Assess to what extent the material proposed for publication represents an original contribution (either through substantial enrichment of the documentary/informational base, or through explicit application/testing of new research methods and models, or through major renewal of the interpretation of the phenomena studied, or through synthesis and systematization of available information).</b>	
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	
<b>6. Quotations, bibliographic sources, and footnotes are correctly used. The spelling is correct, the expression is clear, the vocabulary is academic, adapted to the target audience.</b>	

Criteria	Score awarded (1-5 scale)
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	
<b>7. The relevance of the article for the security field in general, and for the military field in particular.</b>	
<i>Observations / Suggestions:</i>	

**Recommendation (check the last column):**

<b>A. Publication of the material is recommended</b>	without reservations	<input type="checkbox"/>
	with minor revisions	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>B. Reworking of the material is proposed</b>	the material must be reduced in size, but the current structure can be maintained	<input type="checkbox"/>
	for publication, radical restructuring of the material is required	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>C. Rejection from publication is proposed</b>	the material does not meet academic standards	<input type="checkbox"/>
	the material does not fit the journal's profile, but submission to another publication is recommended (please indicate)	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Final Notes:**

1. Please indicate whether you consider that, before publication, the material should also be reviewed by other specialists, namely:
  
2. Please add any observations that you wish to be communicated to the author(s) for improving the material.